

CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS FOLLOWING ACCESS REQUEST FOR RECORDS PERTAINING CITY INSURANCE

- **August 12, 2004:** Filed access request (Request #04-2054) with City of Toronto Corporate Access and Privacy Office for records of:
 1. City of Toronto's annual insurance premiums for 3rd part liability – 1980, 1990, 1995, 1996-2004.
 2. Deductibles for each of these years.
 3. Maximum amount of insurance coverage for these types of claims.
 4. Amounts of money paid out in each of these years by:
 - (a) City – for deductibles.
 - (b) Insurance companies.
- No reply from Corporate Access and Privacy Office; time limit for deemed refusal passed.
- **November 16, 2004:** Resubmitted access request to Corporate Access and Privacy Office (Request #04-2954). Question #4 was modified to read:
 4. Amounts of money paid out in each of these years FOR CLAIMS RELATED TO CITY PLAYGROUNDS by:
 - (a) City – for deductibles.
 - (b) Insurance companies.
- **November 25, 2004:** Received a letter from Corporate Access and Privacy asking why we had submitted two identical requests, and confirming that they should consider the first of these requests (#04-2054) closed.
- Time limit for deemed refusal of request #04-2954 passed with no reply from Corporate Access and Privacy Office. We filed an appeal with the provincial office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner.
- **January 18, 2005:** Received a notice of inquiry from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner.
- **February 3, 2005:** Received a reply from the Corporate Access and Privacy Office stating that: “the Corporate Finance Division has been contacted on several occasions regarding your request. However no records have been produced. Therefore, we assume that no records exist. Access cannot therefore be granted, as the records that you have requested do not exist.”
- **February 14, 2005:** Received a letter from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner saying that since we had received a reply from Corporate Access and Privacy that our appeal of their deemed refusal was now closed.
- **March 3, 2005:** Filed another appeal with Information and Privacy Commissioner, contesting the City's assertion that no such records existed.
- **March 14, 2005:** Received a letter from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner stating that our appeal had been forwarded to the Registrar so that it could proceed to the next stage of the appeal process.
- **March 22, 2005:** Received a letter from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner confirming our appeal.
- **April 26, 2005:** Received a letter from Corporate Access and Privacy stating that they had located records which were responsive to our request, and that access to these records was denied in full, because releasing records would be in violation

of Section 11 of the *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (protection of the municipality’s economic interests).

- **April 29, 2005:** Received a letter from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner stating that our appeal of the City’s decision that the records do not exist was closed, because the City has now acknowledged that they did exist.
- **April 29, 2005:** We filed a new appeal with the Information and Privacy Commissioner, disputing the City’s decision to deny access to these records under Section 11 of the *Act*.
- **May 18, 2005:** Received a letter from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner confirming our appeal.
- **June 8, 2005:** Received a letter from the office of Information and Privacy Commissioner informing us that the mediation stage of our appeal had not been successful, and that we would be moving to adjudication.
- **June 20, 2005:** We received a revised version of the mediator’s report from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner, because the original report had not made reference to the City’s original position that no records existed for our request.
- **July 22, 2005:** The Information and Privacy Commissioner, Ann Cavoukian, ruled in favour of CBC Radio Canada in their appeal against the City of Toronto (IPC Order MO-1947) for access to records regarding “civil lawsuits involving four city departments, which the City had settled with third parties in 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004, including the number of lawsuits, dates settled, and dollar amounts.”
- **July 26, 2005:** We received a letter from Corporate Access and Privacy saying that we would now be granted access to the records that we had requested, pursuant to Order MO-1947.
- **August 3, 2005:** We received a letter from the office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner saying that our appeal was now closed.
- **RESULTS:**

Policy Term	Premium	Deductible	Limit of Liability	Paid by City to date under deductible	Paid by insurer to date over deductible
1998	\$1,860,000	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,180,903.16	\$141,577.53
1999	\$1,860,000	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$8,742,558.28	\$6,279.71
2000	\$1,860,000	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$10,081,547.21	\$62,598.74
2001	\$1,560,000	\$500,000	\$2,000,000	\$9,024,060.94	NIL
2002	\$1,120,000	\$2,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$6,716,493.79	NIL
2003	\$1,800,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$5,239,121.25	NIL
2004	\$100,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$948,019.02	NIL